<u>List of recommended candidates for the post of</u> Junior Proof Reader- Advertisement No. 1/2011

Based on the performance of the candidates in the preliminary examination, main examination and interview, the following 4 candidates in the order listed below are being provisionally recommended for appointment as Junior Proof Reader: -

<u>5.No</u>	Roll No.	<u>Name</u>	<u>Category</u>
1	500304	SAURABH BATRA	UR
2	500107	RAKHI SAKSENA NIGAM	UR
3	500103	POOJA RANI	UR
4	500320	PRIYANKA KUMARI	OBC

- 2. The candidate at SI. No. 4 was shortlisted for the Interview on the basis of relaxed cut off marks adopted for OBC candidates in the Main Examination and, therefore, is being recommended against the OBC vacancy.
- 3. The recommendation for appointment of the above candidates is provisional and is subject to verification of required documents and fulfillment by the candidates of eligibility conditions prescribed for the post.
- 4. The candidature of Shri Ravinder (Roll No. 500288) has been cancelled on account of submission of conflicting experience certificates by him.
- 5. Following 05 candidates have been found ineligible for the reasons mentioned against their names: -

CLNIa	Name	Roll No.	Doggang for inclinibility
31.140.			Reasons for ineligibility
1.	Praveen Kumar	500271	Result of PG Diploma in Book Publishing was declared after last date of submission of application form and therefore the candidate did not fulfill educational qualifications prescribed for the post on the last date of receipt of application. Moreover, the candidate has failed to produce by the prescribed date a certificate to the effect that the Bandematram, where he gained experience, comes under the purview of the Factories Act, 1948.
2.	Radhe Sham Bishnoi	500042	The candidate has failed to produce by the prescribed date a certificate to the effect that the Rama Times comes under the purview of the Factories Act, 1948 and Dainik Bhaskar has informed that the experience gained by the candidate is not equivalent to Copy Holder/Proof Reader. The candidate, therefore, has not been able to establish that he possesses requisite experience prescribed for the post.

3.	Rakesh Tiwari	500240	(i) The candidate has failed to produce by the prescribed date a certificate to the effect that the Mangalam Publishers comes under the purview of the Factories Act, 1948 and that the experience gained at Geeta Press, Gorakhpur, Deptt. of Printing Technology, G.J.U.S&T, Hissar, Somany Institute of Engineering and Technology, Rewari and Deptt. of Printing Technology, Pusa Polytechnic, New Delhi is equivalent to Copy Holder/Proof Reader. (ii) Shukla Typesetters & Printers and Young Global have informed that these organizations do not come under the purview of the Factories Act, 1948. The candidate, therefore, has not been able to establish that he possesses requisite experience prescribed for the post.
4.	Gantantra Kumar	500156	Triton Communications Pvt. Ltd. has informed that the organization does not come under the purview of the Factories Act, 1948. The candidate, therefore, has not been able to establish that he possesses requisite experience prescribed for the post.
5.	Sunil Kumar	500101	The candidate has failed to produce by the prescribed date a certificate to the effect that the experience gained at Thomson Digital is equivalent to Copy Holder/Proof Reader and that the organization comes under the purview of the Factories Act, 1948. The candidate, therefore, has not been able to establish that he possesses requisite experience prescribed for the post.

Recruitment Cell, Rajya Sabha Secretariat 04/06/2015